

SUBMISSION FORM

Science, Engineering and Health Studies (SEHS)

Type of manuscript (please refer to <https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/sehs/about/submissions>):

- Research article
- Review article (i.e., a summary of topics of general interest to audiences)
- Short communication (i.e., preliminary report limited to ~ 2,000 words with no more than 3 figures and tables)

Title: Pregnancy Care in Perspective of Ethnic Bugis Pregnant Women in Rural Areas

List of authors, ordered as it would appear in the paper

(Example: Department of Health Innovation, Faculty of Innovation, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, 73000 Thailand)

Name	Affiliation
1. Suriah	Department of Health Promotion and Behavioral Science, Faculty of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar 90245, Indonesia
2. Suci Adriani	Department of Health Promotion and Behavioral Science, Faculty of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar 90245, Indonesia

Corresponding author

Name	Suriah
Affiliation	Hasanuddin University
E-mail	suriah_74@yahoo.com
Contact phone number	+62-411-585658

Word count (excluding tables, figures, references)

5139

Number of Table: 3 Number of Figure: 3

Please verify:

- The submission file is in Microsoft Word or OpenOffice document file format.
- The text is double-spaced; uses a font Times New Roman size 12; employs italics, rather than underlining (except with URL addresses); and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.
- References have been listed in alphabetical order of author(s). Reference style was followed APA format.

The authors confirm that:

- The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- all co-authors have seen the manuscript, agree with its content and approve of its submission to Science, Engineering and Health Studies (SEHS)
- if the research work involves the use of animals or human participants/volunteers, the approval from the ethic committee was obtained for the experiments/clinical trials involving human subjects (authors must state the approval code in the manuscript)
- no conflicts of interest to disclose
- received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

Comments to the Editor

We confirm that this work is original and has not been published elsewhere, nor is it currently under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Suriah
(Corresponding Author)

Date:18/09/2020

JOURNAL USE ONLY

Date Received:

Manuscript Number:

Ref: SEHS_2020_355

Title: Pregnancy Care in Perspective of Ethnic Bugis Pregnant Women in Rural Areas

Journal: Science, Engineering and Health Studies

Dear Suriah,

Thank you for submitting your work to Science, Engineering and Health Studies. Your submission has been assigned the following manuscript number: **SEHS_2020_355**. Before we pass on manuscript to the Journal Editor, who is responsible for the scientific assessment. Please, **make changes/corrections** as detailed in the attached file. Including **fill out** the submission form (attached to this e-mail) and return to the e-mail address sehs.surdi@gmail.com by **September 25, 2020**.

Thank you for your assistance and co-operation in the above matter. We look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Best Regards,

Hataichanok Phansatan
Managing Editor

Ref: SEHS_2020_355

Title: Pregnancy Care in Perspective of Ethnic Bugis Pregnant Women in Rural Areas

Journal: Science, Engineering and Health Studies

Dear Suriah Suriah,

Thank you for submitting the above manuscript to Science, Engineering and Health Studies. The reviewers to whom we sent your manuscript have made some important comments. The manuscript is not acceptable for publication in its present form and requires **major revision** before it can be reconsidered for publication.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below. I believe that the comments/suggestions made by the reviewers are self-explanatory.

If you decide to revise the work, please submit an itemized list of changes which have been made in response to the reviewer's comments/suggestions or a rebuttal of why a particular comment/suggestion was not acted upon. Changes to the manuscript should be made in **RED** so they are distinct from the original text. In order to expedite publication of the manuscript, we would appreciate receiving the revised manuscript by **November 30, 2020**. If the revised manuscript is not received by 4 weeks, it will be considered withdrawn.

Please note that the revised manuscript will then be sent to reviewers for further consideration.

Thank you for your assistance and co-operation in the above matter. We look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Pornsak Sriamornsak
Editor-in-chief
Science, Engineering and Health Studies

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

Reviewer 1:

The discussion is good and adding new knowledge about cultural and ritual on pregnancy care in a different community. Such a unique tradition is the best sharing.

Reviewer 2:

1. The background to conclusion section is not clearly explained
2. Some parts of manuscript are confusing. I did not find the main idea of this manuscript and many of words is not familiar and did not clear explained.

Editor:

The English language in the manuscript was difficult to understand. To improve grammar and readability, it is required that the manuscript should be carefully reviewed by professional editing services who the reviewer is native speaker. The certificate of English editing (for example, <https://www.enago.com/editing-services.htm>) is also required when resubmitting the manuscript. Please note that personal English checking is not acceptable.

Ref: SEHS_2020_355

Title: "The Perspectives of Pregnancy Care in Rural Areas by Pregnant Women of Bugis Ethnicity"

Journal: Science, Engineering and Health Studies

Dear Suriah Suriah,

Thank you for submitting the above manuscript to Science, Engineering and Health Studies. The reviewers to whom we sent your manuscript have made some important comments. If you are willing to address their comments adequately in a revised version of your manuscript, we should be happy to reconsider it for publication.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below. I believe that the comments/suggestions made by the reviewers are self-explanatory.

If you decide to revise the work, please submit an itemized list of changes which have been made in response to the reviewer's comments/suggestions or a rebuttal of why a particular comment/suggestion was not acted upon. Changes to the manuscript should be made in **RED** so they are distinct from the original text. In order to expedite publication of the manuscript, we would appreciate receiving the revised manuscript by **April 5, 2021**. If the revised manuscript is not received on this time, it will be considered withdrawn.

Thank you for your assistance and co-operation in the above matter. We look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Pornsak Sriamornsak
Editor-in-chief
Science, Engineering and Health Studies

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

Reviewer:

Abstract

The abstract has not presented the sufficient finding.

Introduction: it should identified problem statement. it has not clearly provided the reason why you need to conduct this research topic with the regrading the background.

Material and method; For this research, ethnographic was selected as the research methodology. However, for data collection method, it is not sufficient to conduct only in-dept interview. It would be better to present how the research participate in this context. Participation observation must be the importatant data collection method.

Key informant selection: It has not provided that much detail regarding how you select the key informations and the reason for selecting these particular. The selection of key informant should reflect the maximized variation.

Conclusion and discussion: it should highlight the contribution of your research apart from comparing with the previous study. What have you added up from this study? Also, finding has not been sufficiently explained in this conclusion part for example, the role of midwife has not been explained.

Also, it should provide how this research can be further applied in the practical way.

Ref: SEHS_2020_355

Judul: "Perspektif Perawatan Kehamilan di Perdesaan oleh Ibu Hamil Etnis Bugis"

Jurnal: Studi Sains, Teknik dan Kesehatan

Suriyah sayang,

Terima kasih telah mengirimkan naskah di atas ke Ilmu Pengetahuan, Teknik dan Studi Kesehatan. Peninjau yang kami kirimkan naskah Anda telah membuat beberapa komentar penting. Jika Anda bersedia menanggapi komentar mereka secara memadai dalam versi revisi dari naskah Anda, kami akan dengan senang hati mempertimbangkannya kembali untuk diterbitkan.

Untuk panduan Anda, komentar pengulas ditambahkan di bawah ini. Saya percaya bahwa komentar / saran yang dibuat oleh pengulas sudah cukup jelas.

Jika Anda memutuskan untuk merevisi pekerjaan, harap kirimkan daftar perincian perubahan yang telah dibuat sebagai tanggapan atas komentar / saran peninjau atau sanggahan mengapa komentar / saran tertentu tidak ditindaklanjuti. Perubahan pada naskah harus berwarna MERAH agar berbeda dengan teks aslinya. Untuk mempercepat penerbitan naskah, kami sangat menghargai penerimaan naskah yang telah direvisi paling lambat 5 April 2021. Jika naskah yang telah direvisi tidak diterima saat ini, itu akan dianggap ditarik.

Terima kasih atas bantuan dan kerjasamanya dalam masalah di atas. Kami berharap dapat menerima naskah yang telah direvisi.

Salam,

Pornsak Sriamornsak
Pemimpin Redaksi
Studi Sains, Teknik dan Kesehatan

Komentar dari editor dan pengulas:

Peninjau:

Abstrak

Abstrak belum menyajikan temuan yang cukup.

Pendahuluan: itu harus mengidentifikasi pernyataan masalah. Hal ini belum memberikan alasan yang jelas mengapa perlu dilakukan topik penelitian ini dengan latar belakang yang menyesatkan.

Bahan dan metode; Untuk penelitian ini, etnografi dipilih sebagai metodologi penelitian. Namun untuk metode pengumpulan data tidak cukup hanya dengan wawancara mendalam. Akan lebih baik jika disajikan bagaimana penelitian tersebut berpartisipasi dalam konteks ini. Pengamatan partisipasi harus menjadi metode pengumpulan data yang penting.

Pemilihan informan kunci: Tidak memberikan banyak rincian tentang bagaimana Anda memilih informasi kunci dan alasan untuk memilihnya. Pemilihan informan kunci harus mencerminkan variasi yang dimaksimalkan.

Kesimpulan dan pembahasan: harus menyoroti kontribusi penelitian Anda selain membandingkan dengan penelitian sebelumnya. Apa yang telah Anda tambahkan dari studi ini? Selain itu, temuan belum cukup dijelaskan pada bagian kesimpulan ini misalnya peran bidan belum dijelaskan.

Juga, harus menjelaskan bagaimana penelitian ini dapat diterapkan lebih lanjut dalam cara praktis.